## p-divisible groups

## J.M. Commelin

January 19, 2016

1 NOTATION. — In these notes, instead of lim we write colim, and instead of lim we write lim.

2 — Let K be a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over K. Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. Let  $A_{\ell^{\infty}}(\bar{K}) = \bigcup_n A[\ell^n](\bar{K})$  denote the  $\ell$ -divisible subgroup of  $A(\bar{K})$ . Let W be a  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -stable subgroup of  $A_{\ell^{\infty}}(\bar{K})$ . For every n, let B(n) denote the quotient  $A/W_{\ell^n}$ .

We want to bound the height of B(n). More precisely, we want the following result.

3 THEOREM. — For  $n \gg 0$ , the height h(B(n)) does not depend on n.

In this talk I will not prove this theorem. Rather, I will present an overview of some facts about p-divisible groups, and in the end prove a proposition that will be very useful for proving theorem 3.

4 — We will use [1] as main reference.

Let R be a ring (or scheme). Let p be a prime number. Let h be an integer  $\geq 0$ . By definition, a group scheme over R has rank h if it is locally free of rank h over R (in other words, it is defined by a Hopf algebra that is locally free of rank h over R).

5 DEFINITION. — A *p*-divisible group of height h is an inductive system

 $G = (G_\nu, i_\nu)_{\nu > 0}$ 

where  $G_{\nu}$  is a finite group scheme over R of order  $p^{\nu h}$ , and such that for each  $\nu \geq 0$ , the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{\nu} \xrightarrow{i_{\nu}} G_{\nu+1} \xrightarrow{|p^{\nu}|} G_{\nu+1}$$

is exact. (So  $G_{\nu}$  is the set of  $p^{\nu}$ -torsion points in  $G_{\nu+1}$ .)

A homomorphism of *p*-divisible groups is what you think it is.

Probably the best known example of a *p*-divisible group is given by

 $G_{\nu} = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}\mathbb{Z})^h$  and  $G = \operatorname{colim} G_{\nu} = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^h$ .

The next best known example is  $A_{p^{\infty}}(\bar{K}) = \operatorname{colim} A[\ell^{\nu}](\bar{K})$ , where A is an abelian variety over a field K, as in §2.

6 CONSEQUENCES OF THE DEFINITION. — Let G be a p-divisible group. By iteration, we obtain closed immersions  $i_{\nu,\mu}: G_{\nu} \to G_{\nu+\mu}$ , for all  $\nu, \mu \ge 0$ . (Note that  $i_{\nu,1} = i_{\nu}$ .) These maps  $i_{\nu,\mu}$ identify  $G_{\nu}$  with the kernel of  $[p^{\nu}]$  in  $G_{\nu+\mu}$ .

Consider the following diagram, with exact row and column.

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{\mu} \xrightarrow{i_{\mu,\nu}} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{[p^{\mu}]} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{\uparrow} G_{\nu} \xrightarrow{[i_{\nu,\mu}]} G_{\nu}$$

Since the composition  $[p^{\nu}] \circ [p^{\mu}] = [p^{\nu+\mu}]$  is identically 0 on  $G_{\nu+\mu}$ , we see that  $[p^{\mu}]$  factors via a map  $j_{\nu,\mu}: G_{\nu+\mu} \to G_{\nu}$ .

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{\mu} \xrightarrow{i_{\mu,\nu}} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{[p^{\mu}]} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{[p^{\nu}]} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{i_{\nu,\mu}} G_{\nu} \xrightarrow{i_{\nu,\mu}} G_{\nu} \xrightarrow{i_{\nu,\mu}} G_{\nu} \xrightarrow{i_{\nu,\mu}} G_{\nu} \xrightarrow{i_{\nu,\mu}} 0$$

Observe that  $i_{\nu,\mu} \circ j_{\mu,\nu} = [p^{\mu}]$ . Because  $i_{\nu,\mu}$  is an immersion, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{\mu} \xrightarrow{i_{\mu,\nu}} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{j_{\mu,\nu}} G_{\nu}$$

is exact. In fact, since the order of  $G_{\mu}$  and  $G_{\nu}$  add up to the order of  $G_{\nu+\mu}$ , we find that the last map is in fact a quotient map, and we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G_{\mu} \xrightarrow{i_{\mu,\nu}} G_{\nu+\mu} \xrightarrow{j_{\mu,\nu}} G_{\nu} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will write  $j_{\nu}$  for  $j_{1,\nu}$ .

7 TATE MODULES. — Let R be an integral domain, with field of fractions K. Assume char K = 0and let  $\overline{K}$  be an algebraic closure of K. Let G be a p-divisible group over R of height h. The *Tate module* of G is denoted T(G), and is by definition  $\lim G_{\nu}(\overline{K})$ , where limit is taken over the morphisms  $j_{\nu}$ . Dually, one defines  $\Phi(G)$  as colim  $G_{\nu}(\overline{K})$ , where the colimit is over the maps  $i_{\nu}$ . *N.b.:* There is a notion of "points of G" which we do not need for the main result of this talk. It coincides with  $\Phi(G)$  when G is étale, but contains  $\Phi(G)$  as torsion subgroup in the general situation. Since K has characteristic 0, the groups  $G_{\nu} \otimes K$  are étale, and hence T(G) is isomorphic as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^h$ , while  $\Phi(G)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^h$ . Furthermore, there is a continuous action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$  on T(G) and  $\Phi(G)$ . There are canonical isomorphisms (of Galois modules)

 $\Phi(G) \cong T(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad T(G) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p, \Phi(G)).$ 

Observe that one can recover the Galois module  $G_{\nu}(\bar{K})$  from  $\Phi(G)$  by taking the kernel of  $[p^{\nu}]$ . Using the well-known fact that a finite étale group scheme over a field is determined by its Galois module of  $\bar{K}$ -points, we may thus recover the generic fibre  $G \otimes_R K$  from  $\Phi(G)$  or T(G).

8 COROLLARY. — The assignment  $G \mapsto T(G)$  establishes an equivalence of categories between the category of p-divisible groups over K and free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules of finite rank with a continuous action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ .

9 PROPOSITION (PRP. 12 OF [1]). — Let R be an integrally closed, Noetherian, integral domain, with field of fractions K. Fix a prime number p. Let G be a p-divisible group over R. Let T(G)be the Tate module of G. Let W be a direct summand of T(G) over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  that is stable under the action of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ . Then there exists a p-divisible group  $\Gamma$  over R, and a morphism  $\phi: \Gamma \to G$ such that  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism  $T(\Gamma) \cong W$ .

Proof. By corollary 8 we immediately obtain a p-divisible subgroup  $H_* \subset G \otimes K$ . We want to take the closure H of  $H_*$  in G. To make this precise, let  $B_{\nu}$  be the R-algebra corresponding to  $G_{\nu}$ . Let  $A_{*\nu}$  be the K-algebra corresponding to  $H_{*\nu}$ , and consider  $u_{\nu} \colon B_{\nu} \otimes_R K \to A_{*\nu}$  corresponding to  $H_{*\nu} \hookrightarrow G_{\nu} \otimes K$ . Let  $A_{\nu}$  be the image  $u_{\nu}(B_{\nu})$  and put  $H_{\nu} = \operatorname{Spec}(A_{\nu})$ . Observe that  $A_{\nu}$  is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, and therefore  $H_{\nu}$  is a commutative group scheme.

$$B_{\nu} \otimes_{R} K \longrightarrow A_{\nu} \xrightarrow{\iota} A_{*\nu}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$B_{\nu+1} \otimes_{R} K \xrightarrow{\sigma} A_{\nu+1} \longrightarrow A_{*\nu+1}$$

By construction  $\iota$  is injective, while  $\sigma$  is surjective. Hence we obtain a map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} B_{\nu} \otimes_{R} K & \longrightarrow & A_{\nu} & \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} & A_{*\nu} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B_{\nu+1} \otimes_{R} K & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} & A_{\nu+1} & \longrightarrow & A_{*\nu+1} \end{array}$$

and thus maps  $H_{\nu} \to H_{\nu+1}$ . Nevertheless, H is not necessarily a p-divisible group. (The last lines of [1] provide an example by Serre, that illustrates this problem.) However,  $H \otimes K \cong H_*$  is a p-divisible group. As we will see, the failure of H being a p-divisible group is somehow only at a finite level. What I mean is this: for  $\nu \gg 0$  we will see that  $H_{\nu} \to H_{\nu+1}$  satisfies the axioms for a p-divisible group. We will exploit this to define  $\Gamma$  in terms of H.

Because all groups involved are finite, quotients such as  $H_{\mu+1}/H_{\mu}$  exist. By looking at the generic fibre, we see that  $H_{\mu+1}/H_{\mu}$  is killed by p. In particular the map [p] induces maps

$$H_{\mu+\nu+1}/H_{\mu+1} \to H_{\mu+\nu}/H_{\mu}$$

that are isomorphisms on the generic fibre. (After all, on the generic fibre both the source and the target are isomorphic to  $H_{\nu}$ , and the kernel of the map is 0.) Let  $D_{\mu}$  be the algebra corresponding to  $H_{\mu+1}/H_{\mu}$ . By the above observation, the algebra  $D_{\mu} \otimes_R K$  does not depend on  $\mu$ ; and the  $D_{\mu}$  form an increasing sequence of orders inside a finite separable K-algebra.

From some point onwards, say  $\mu_0$ , this sequence stabilises:  $D_{\mu} = D_{\mu_0}$  for  $\mu \ge \mu_0$ . Now we may put  $\Gamma_{\nu} = H_{\nu+\mu_0}/H_{\mu_0}$ . Note that  $[p^{\mu_0}]$  induces maps  $\Gamma_{\nu} \to H_{\nu}$  that are isomorphisms on the generic fibre. Hence (if we assume for a moment that  $\Gamma$  is *p*-divisible), it is immediate that  $\Gamma \hookrightarrow G$  induces an isomorphism  $T(\Gamma) \to W$ .

We are done if we show that  $\Gamma$  is *p*-divisible. To see this, consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{c} H_{\nu+\mu_0+1}/H_{\mu_0} \xrightarrow{[p^{\nu}]} H_{\nu+\mu_0+1}/H_{\mu_0} \\ \downarrow^{\alpha} & \uparrow^{\gamma} \\ H_{\nu+\mu_0+1}/H_{\nu+\mu_0} \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{\mu_0+1}/H_{\mu_0} \end{array}$$

· ·/·

Here

- »  $\alpha$  is the canonical surjection.
- »  $\beta$  is the map induced by  $[p^{\nu}]$ , and is an isomorphism by the choice of  $\mu_0$ .

»  $\gamma$  is the canonical inclusion.

Observe that both objects in the top row are isomorphic to  $\Gamma_{\nu+1}$ . We conclude that the kernel of  $[p^{\nu}]: \Gamma_{\nu+1} \to \Gamma_{\nu+1}$  is isomorphic to the kernel of  $\alpha$ , which is  $H_{\nu+\mu_0}/H_{\mu_0}$ . By definition this is  $\Gamma_{\nu}$ . We conclude that  $\Gamma$  is indeed *p*-divisible.

## References

 J. T. Tate. "p-divisible groups". In: Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966). Springer, Berlin, 1967, pp. 158–183.