

## Tensor products

Suppose that  $U$ ,  $V$ , and  $W$  are vector spaces

over some field  $K$ . Assume that

$$\dim U = k, \quad \dim V = m, \quad \dim W = n$$

What is the dimension of  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ ?

After picking bases for  $V$  and  $W$ ,

it is the space of  $n \times m$ -matrices. So, answer:  $n \cdot m$

Similar question: what is the dimension of the

vector space of bilinear maps  $U \times V \rightarrow W$ ?

Answer A bilinear map  $f: U \times V \rightarrow W$

gives a linear map  $f(u, -): V \rightarrow W$  for every  $u \in U$ .

So it is the same as a linear map

$$U \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W)$$

$$\text{Bil}(U \times V, W) \cong \text{Hom}(U, \text{Hom}(V, W)) \quad \text{Dimension: } n \cdot m \cdot k$$

Extension of scalars

Suppose that  $V$  is a vector space  $/\mathbb{R}$  of dimension  $n$ .

Can we build a complex vector space of dimension  $n$  in a natural way, starting from  $V$ ?

Not so natural method Pick a basis of  $V$  (over  $\mathbb{R}$ )

$$\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

Now consider all  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combinations of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ .

Of course we can also think about **multilinear** maps

$$V_1 \times V_2 \times \dots \times V_r \rightarrow W$$

It would be really useful if we can view this as a

**linear** map **SomeConstruction** $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r) \rightarrow W$ ,

because then we can apply all theorems of linear algebra to it.

This is exactly what **tensor products** will do for us.

$$\text{SomeConstruction}(U, V) = U \otimes V$$

Let's understand  
this better!

$$\dim U = k$$

$$\dim V = m$$

$$\dim W = n$$

What do we know already?

The dimension of  $\text{Bil}(U \times V, W)$  is  $n \cdot m \cdot k$

The dimension of  $\text{Hom}(U \otimes V, W)$  is  $n \cdot \dim(U \otimes V)$

So  $\dim(U \otimes V)$  must be  $m \cdot k$ .

Suppose  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  are bases of  $U$  and  $V$ .

How do we get a vector space of dimension  $k \cdot m$ ?

Generate one from  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \times \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ . Works, but ugly  $\therefore$

## Building the tensor product without picking bases

Consider the vector space generated by the "formal" symbols :

$$u \otimes v \quad \text{for all } u \in U \text{ and } v \in V.$$

Now take the quotient by the relations:

$$\square \quad (\lambda u) \otimes v = \lambda (u \otimes v) = u \otimes (\lambda v)$$

$$\square \quad (u_1 + u_2) \otimes v = (u_1 \otimes v) + (u_2 \otimes v)$$

$$\square \quad u \otimes (v_1 + v_2) = (u \otimes v_1) + (u \otimes v_2)$$

## Linear maps out of tensor products

What do we need to do, to give a linear map  $U \otimes V \rightarrow W$ ?

For all  $u \in U$  and  $v \in V$  give the image  $f(u \otimes v)$

and make sure that  $f(\lambda u \otimes v) = f(\lambda(u \otimes v)) = f(u \otimes (\lambda v))$

and  $f((u_1 + u_2) \otimes v) = f(u_1 \otimes v) + f(u_2 \otimes v)$

etc ...

But that is exactly a bilinear map  $U \times V \rightarrow W$   $\forall \circ \smile$

$$\text{Hom}(U \otimes V, W) \cong \text{Bil}(U \times V, W) \cong \text{Hom}(U, \text{Hom}(V, W))$$