

Lie's theorem

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a solvable Lie algebra

over an algebraically closed field.

Then there exists a nonzero vector $v \in V$

that is an eigenvector of X for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Similar to Engel's theorem: in that case, eigenvalues all $= 0$.

Proof also follows a similar pattern.

Claim 1: There is an ideal \mathfrak{H} of codimension 1.

Proof of claim Since \mathfrak{g} is solvable, $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}$.

Hence $\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian Lie algebra of dimension > 0 .

Every subspace of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal.

We are done by taking the inverse image of any

codimension 1 subspace under $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}$.

□

Since $\dim \mathfrak{h} < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, we may assume by induction

that there exists a nonzero $v_0 \in V$,

that is an eigenvector for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Let $\lambda(X)$ denote the corresponding eigenvalue, so that

$$X(v_0) = \lambda(X) \cdot v_0 \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Now consider

$$W = \left\{ w \in V \mid X(w) = \lambda(X) \cdot w \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{h} \right\}$$

Now consider

$$W = \left\{ w \in V \mid X(w) = \lambda(X) \cdot w \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{h} \right\}$$

As before: pick $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $Y \notin \mathfrak{h}$.

Claim 2 The subspace W is stable under Y .

Since we are working over an algebraically closed field

this implies that there is some nonzero $w \in W$ that is an

eigenvector of Y , hence eigenvector of all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. \square

The proof of claim 2 follows from:

Lemma Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an ideal of a Lie algebra over K ,

V a representation of \mathfrak{g} , and $\lambda: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow K$ a linear function.

Write $W = \{ v \in V \mid X(v) = \lambda(X) \cdot v \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{h} \}$.

Then $Y(W) \subset W$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof Pick $w \in W$. Need to show:

$$X(Y(w)) = \lambda(X) \cdot Y(w)$$

Proof Pick $w \in W$. Need to show: $X(Y(w)) = \lambda(X) \cdot Y(w)$.

Note that $X(Y(w)) = \underbrace{Y(X(w))}_{\text{}} + [X, Y](w)$

$$Y(\lambda(X) \cdot w) = \lambda(X) \cdot Y(w)$$

So, need to show $[X, Y](w) = 0$.

Consider $U = \langle w, Y(w), Y^2(w), \dots, Y^k(w), \dots \rangle$.

Clearly $Y(U) \subset U$.

Claim Fix $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then $X(Y^k(w))$ is of the form

$$\lambda(X) \cdot Y^k(w) + (\text{lin. combi. of } Y^{k-1}(w), \dots, Y(w), w)$$

In other words: $X(U) \subset U$, and on the basis

$w, Y(w), Y^2(w), \dots$ of U , X is upper triangular

with $\lambda(X)$ on all diagonal entries.

Proof of the claim By induction on k and:

$$X(Y^k(w)) = Y(X(Y^{k-1}(w))) + [X, Y](Y^{k-1}(w))$$

□

Now return to the proof of the lemma.

We have $w \in W$ and wanted to show $Y(w) \in W$, i.e.,

$$X(Y(w)) = \lambda(X) \cdot Y(w).$$

Since
$$X(Y(w)) = Y(X(w)) + [X, Y](w)$$
$$\lambda(X) \cdot Y(w)$$

we want to show $[X, Y](w) = 0$.

Note $[X, Y] \in \mathfrak{H}$, so $[X, Y](w) = \lambda([X, Y]) \cdot w$

So showing $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ is enough.

By the claim: $\text{Tr}([X, Y]|_U) = \lambda([X, Y]) \cdot \dim(U)$.

But X and Y preserve U , so

$$[X, Y]|_U = [X|_U, Y|_U],$$

and commutators have trace 0.

So $0 = \dim(U) \cdot \lambda([X, Y])$ and since $0 \neq w \in U$,

we see that $\dim(U) \neq 0$, so $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ \square