

## Irreducible root systems

A root system is **irreducible** if it is **nonempty**, and if

$R = R_1 \cup R_2$  with  $R_1 \perp R_2$  then  $R_1 = \emptyset$  or  $R_2 = \emptyset$ .

Examples:  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ , and  $G_2$  are **irreducible**.

$A_1 \times A_1$  is **reducible**:  $\leftrightarrow$  It consists of two orthogonal copies of  $A_1$ .

Claim One can check **irreducibility** on a **base**  $\Delta$  of  $R$ .

Indeed, if  $R_1 \perp R_2$ , then take  $\Delta_i = \Delta \cap R_i$  which gives  $\Delta_1 \perp \Delta_2$ .

Now suppose that  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_1 \perp \Delta_2$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a root. Then there is some  $\sigma \in W$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha) \in \Delta$ .

Let  $R_i$  be the subset of roots conjugate to a root in  $\Delta_i$ .

Certainly  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ . Now observe that  $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  implies  $\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha$ .

Similarly, if  $\alpha \perp \Delta_i$  then  $\sigma_\alpha$  preserves the subspace  $E_i \subset E$  spanned by  $\Delta_i$ .

So if  $\sigma(\alpha) \in \Delta_i$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_{\alpha_1} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha_2} \cdots \sigma_{\alpha_t}$  with  $\alpha_j \in \Delta$ ,

then we can assume  $\alpha_j \in \Delta_i$ . Hence  $\alpha \in E_i$ .

Clearly  $E_1 \perp E_2$  and hence  $R_1 \perp R_2$ . This proves the claim.

Let  $\Delta$  be a base of  $R$ . If  $\beta \in R$  is  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_{\alpha} \alpha$ , recall that

$$\text{ht}(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_{\alpha} \quad \text{is the height of } \beta.$$

For the remainder of this lecture, assume  $R$  is irreducible.

Lemma There is a unique maximal root of  $R$  relative to the ordering  $<$ .

We have  $(\beta, \alpha) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $\text{ht } \alpha < \text{ht } \beta$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $\alpha \in R$

and if  $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_{\alpha} \alpha$ , then  $k_{\alpha} > 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

Proof Step 1. Let  $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k_{\alpha} \alpha$  be maximal. Put

$$\Delta_1 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta \mid k_{\alpha} > 0 \}, \quad \Delta_2 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta \mid k_{\alpha} = 0 \}.$$

Clearly  $\Delta_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $\Delta_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Then there must be  $\alpha' \in \Delta_1$  and  $\alpha \in \Delta_2$

such that  $(\alpha', \alpha) \neq 0$  by irreducibility of  $R$ .

Now recall that  $(\gamma, \gamma') \leq 0$  for simple roots  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ .

Hence  $\square$   $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$  since  $\alpha$  is simple and  $\beta$  positive

$\square$   $(\alpha, \alpha') < 0$  since  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are simple, but not orthogonal

$\square$   $(\alpha, \beta) < 0$  combine the two points above.

But then  $\beta + \alpha$  is a root, which contradicts maximality.

So  $\Delta_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $k_\alpha > 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , and  $(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

Step 2. Suppose  $\beta' = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} k'_\alpha \alpha$  is another maximal root.

Let  $\alpha \in \Delta$  be such that  $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ , which exists since  $\Delta$  spans  $E$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ .

Since  $k'_\alpha > 0$ , we find  $(\beta', \beta) > 0$ .

This means that either  $\beta = \beta'$  or  $\beta - \beta'$  is a root.

The latter contradicts maximality of  $\beta$  or  $\beta'$ , so we conclude  $\beta = \beta'$ . ■

Lemma  $\mathcal{W}$  acts irreducibly on  $E$ .

In particular, the  $\mathcal{W}$ -orbit of a root  $\alpha$  spans  $E$ .

Proof Let  $E'$  be a nonzero  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariant subspace of  $E$ .

The orthogonal complement  $E''$  is also  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariant.

By one of the exercises, for all  $\alpha$  we have

$$\alpha \in E' \text{ or } E' \subset P_\alpha.$$

Hence  $\alpha \in E'$  implies  $\alpha \in E''$ .

This means that  $(E' \cap R)$  and  $(E'' \cap R)$  partition the roots into orthogonal subsets.

By irreducibility we conclude  $E'' \cap R = \emptyset$ , hence  $E'' = 0$ .



Lemma At most two root lengths occur in  $\Delta$ .

All roots of a given length are conjugate under  $\mathcal{W}$ .

Proof Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two roots. There exists a  $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha)$  is not orthogonal to  $\beta$ , since  $\mathcal{W} \cdot \alpha$  spans  $E$ .

Now  $\|\sigma(\alpha)\| = \|\alpha\|$ , and  $\frac{\|\sigma(\alpha)\|^2}{\|\beta\|^2}$  must be one of  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, 3$ .

If there were  $> 3$  different lengths, then  $\frac{2}{3}$  would occur, which is impossible.

Now suppose that  $\alpha' = \sigma(\alpha)$  has the same length as  $\beta$ .

By the table with angles and Cartan integers, we find  $\langle \alpha', \beta \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha' \rangle = \pm 1$

After possibly replacing  $\beta$  by  $-\beta$ , we get  $\langle \alpha', \beta \rangle = 1$ .

Then  $(\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha)(\beta) = \alpha$ . (Check this.)

If  $R$  has two different root lengths, then we naturally partition them into **long** roots and **short** roots.

If all roots have the same length, then we call all of them **long**.

Lemma The **maximal root**  $\beta \in R$  is **long**.

Proof Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary root. Replace  $\alpha$  by a  $W$ -conjugate lying in the fundamental Weyl chamber of  $\Delta$ .

Since  $\beta - \alpha > 0$ , we find  $(x, \beta - \alpha) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{C(\Delta)}$ .

For  $x = \beta$ , this gives  $(\beta, \beta) \geq (\beta, \alpha)$ . For  $x = \alpha$ , we get  $(\alpha, \beta) \geq (\alpha, \alpha)$ .

We conclude  $(\beta, \beta) \geq (\alpha, \alpha)$ , which shows that  $\beta$  is a long root. ■